arXiv:1605.03896v1 [math.PR] 12 May 2016

NATURAL EXPONENTIAL FAMILIES INVARIANT BY A SUBGROUP OF THE GENERAL AFFINE GROUP AND A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE RIESZ MEASURE ON HOMOGENEOUS CONES

HIDEYUKI ISHI AND BARTOSZ KOŁODZIEJEK

Abstract. In the paper we present a systematic study of natural exponential families invariant by a subgroup of general affine group of finite dimensional linear space. We give a characterization theorem of a generating measure of such family. As an application of our main theorem, we prove a characterization of the Riesz measure on homogeneous cones through the invariance property of natural exponential family under the action of the triangular group.

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is twofold. First, we present a systematic study of natural exponential families (NEFs), which are invariant by a subgroup G of the general affine group of finite dimensional linear space. NEF are considered on arbitrary finite dimensional linear space $\mathbb{E}$. We show (under a weak assumption on G (Theorem 4.1) that a generating measure of such families is of the form

$$e^{-\langle \theta_0, x\rangle}\mu_0(dx-\beta_0)$$

for some $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ and θ_0 in the dual space $\mathbb{E}^*$, where μ_0 is a G-invariant measure.

Second, we apply Theorem 4.1 to the problem of characterization of the Riesz measure on homogeneous cone by certain invariance property of a NEF. Since NEF generated by the Riesz measure consists of Wishart distributions, this is also a characterization of a Wishart distribution on homogeneous cones [1, 10, 25]. We would like to mention that both of these problems were announced by Letac in [23, Section 4], who pointed out that the natural framework for such characterizations are indeed homogeneous cones.

There are essentially two types of characterizations of NEFs. The first one is connected with the central object of NEF, that is, the variance function. The aim is then to describe a generating measure of a NEF with given variance function. Much have been done in this direction, but still much more is unknown. A generating measure is known for $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}$, when the variance function is a quadratic polynomial [27], cubic polynomial [13, 26] and of some more sophisticated forms, like $P\Delta + Q\sqrt{\Delta}$, where P, Δ and Q are quadratic polynomials [24]. For $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{R}^d$ we have to emphasize [3] with its deep connection between homogeneous quadratic variance functions and Euclidean Jordan algebras, simple quadratic [4] and simple cubic variance functions [14], just to name a few.

The second type of characterizations of NEFs are through the invariance properties under some group action. We quote some results on invariant NEFs under a given subgroup G of the general affine group: one parameter group [7], group of rotations [31], Moebious group [22], the connected component containing the identity of the subgroup of the general linear group $GL(\mathrm{Sym}(N,\mathbb{R}))$ preserving the cone $\mathrm{Sym}_+(N,\mathbb{R})$, triangular group of a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra [11] and its modification [12]. Here $\mathrm{Sym}(N,\mathbb{R})$ stands for the symmetric $N\times N$ matrices with real entries and $\mathrm{Sym}_+(N,\mathbb{R})$ is the cone of positive definite real $N\times N$ matrices. In the last three quoted papers the characterizations were carried out by showing

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 60B11; secondary 62E10.

Key words and phrases. natural exponential families, Riesz measure, Wishart distribution, general affine group.

that the variance function (which uniquely determines NEF) coincides with the variance function of some Riesz measure (or its image by the involution $x \mapsto -x$) on $\mathrm{Sym}_+(N,\mathbb{R})$ and symmetric cones, respectively.

In the present paper we generalize [11] to the homogeneous cones setting. We use a matrix realization of homogeneous cones, which proves here very useful and is more accessible to the reader who is not familiar with homogeneous cones, clans and T-algebras. At last we would like to point out that this work is closely related to [28, 29], where NEFs and information theory are treated on homogeneous Hessian structures.

The paper is organized as follows. The concept of natural exponential families is introduced in the next section. Next, we give very general characterization theorems of a generating measure of G-invariant NEF, where G is a subgroup of general linear group (Section 3) or general affine group (Section 4). In Section 5.2 we give an application of the result from Section 3 to a characterization of the Riesz measure on homogeneous cone. A crucial role in the proof of characterization is played by a matrix realization of any homogeneous cone ([17]), which is explained in Section 5.1. Sections 3 and 5 are followed by some comments.

2. Natural Exponential Families

In the following section we will introduce all the necessary facts on NEFs that will be needed later on. It is however worth mentioning that the standard reference book on exponential families is [2].

Let $\mathbb{E}$ be a finite dimensional real linear space endowed with an inner product $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ and $\mathbb{E}^*$ its dual space. Let μ be a positive Radon measure on $\mathbb{E}$. We define its Laplace transform $L_\mu\colon \mathbb{E}^* \to (0,\infty]$ by

$$L_\mu(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{E}} e^{\langle\theta,x\rangle}\mu(dx).$$

By $\Theta(\mu)$ we will denote the interior of the set $\{\theta \in \mathbb{E}^*\colon L_\mu(\theta) < \infty\}$. Hölder's inequality implies that the set $\Theta(\mu)$ is convex and the cumulant function

$$k_\mu(\theta) = \log L_\mu(\theta)$$

is convex on $\Theta(\mu)$ and it is strictly convex if and only if μ is not concentrated on some affine hyperplane of $\mathbb{E}$. Let $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{E})$ be the set of positive Radon measures on $\mathbb{E}$ such that $\Theta(\mu)$ is not empty and μ is not concentrated on some affine hyperplane of $\mathbb{E}$.

For $\mu \in \mathcal{M}(\mathbb{E})$ we define the *natural exponential family (NEF) generated by* μ (denoted by $F(\mu)$) as the set of probability measures of the form

$$P(\theta,\mu)(dx) = e^{\langle\theta,x\rangle - k_\mu(\theta)}\mu(dx), \quad \theta \in \Theta(\mu).$$

Then, for $\theta \in \Theta(\mu)$,

$$k'_\mu(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{E}} x\, P(\theta,\mu)(dx),$$
$$k''_\mu(\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{E}} (x - k'_\mu(\theta)) \otimes (x - k'_\mu(\theta))\, P(\theta,\mu)(dx),$$

are respectively the mean and the covariance operator of the measure $P(\theta,\mu)$. Here $x\otimes x$ is the quadratic form defined by $(x\otimes x)(y) = x\,\langle y,x\rangle$ for $x \in \mathbb{E}$ and $y \in \mathbb{E}^*$. Then the subset $M_{F(\mu)} = k'_\mu(\Theta(\mu))$ of $\mathbb{E}$ is called the domain of the means of $F(\mu)$. The well known properties of the Laplace transform imply that the map $k'_\mu\colon \Theta(\mu) \to M_{F(\mu)}$ is an analytic diffeomorphism. We denote by ψ_μ the inverse of k'_μ from $M_{F(\mu)}$ to $\Theta(\mu)$. Using ψ_μ we can parametrize $F(\mu)$ by the mean, define $P(m,F(\mu))(dx) := P(\psi_\mu(m),\mu)(dx)$, $m \in M_{F(\mu)}$. For any $m \in M_{F(\mu)}$ consider the covariance operator $\mathbb{V}_{F(\mu)}(m)$ of the measure $P(m,F(\mu))$. Then

$$\mathbb{V}_{F(\mu)}(m) = k''_\mu(\psi_\mu(m)) = (\psi'_\mu(m))^{-1}. \tag{2.1}$$

The mapping $M_{F(\mu)} \ni m \mapsto \mathbb{V}_{F(\mu)}(m)$ is called *the variance function*. Variance function is the central object of interest of NEFs, because it characterizes a NEF and a generating measure in the following way: if $F(\mu)$ and $F(\mu')$ are two NEF such that $\mathbb{V}_{F(\mu)}$ and $\mathbb{V}_{F(\mu')}$ coincide on a non-void open set $J \subset M_{F(\mu)} \cap M_{F(\mu')}$, then $F(\mu) = F(\mu')$ and so $\mu'(dx) = e^{\langle a,x\rangle + b}\mu(dx)$ for some $a \in \mathbb{E}^*$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}$.

We will now describe the action of elements from the general affine group $GA(\mathbb{E})$ on a NEF. $GA(\mathbb{E})$ consists of elements g acting on $\mathbb{E}$ in the following way $x \mapsto g.x = \alpha(g)x + \beta(g)$, where $b \in \mathbb{E}$ and $\alpha(g)$ belongs to the general linear group $GL(\mathbb{E})$ of $\mathbb{E}$. Here we distinguish between the action of $GL(\mathbb{E})$ and $GA(\mathbb{E})$ by using "." in the latter case. Let $F = F(\mu)$ be a NEF on $\mathbb{E}$. Then, for any $g \in GA(\mathbb{E})$, we have $g.F(\mu) = F(g_*.\mu)$, where $g_*.\mu$ denotes the image measure of μ by g and

$$\begin{aligned} M_{g.F} &= g.M_F, \\ \mathbb{V}_{g.F}(m) &= \alpha(g)\mathbb{V}_F(g^{-1}.m)\alpha(g)^*, \quad m \in M_{g.F}, \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

where $\alpha(g)^*$ is the conjugate to $\alpha(g)$. The identity element of $GL(\mathbb{E})$ will be denoted by Id.

Consider the Legendre transform of k_μ, that is, the function

$$k^*_\mu(m) = \sup_{\theta \in \Theta(\mu)} \{\langle \theta, m\rangle - k_\mu(\theta)\}, \quad m \in M_{F(\mu)}. \tag{2.3}$$

Since k_μ is strictly convex on $\Theta(\mu)$, it is also easy to verify that for $m = k'_\mu(\theta_0)$, the supremum above is attained at $\theta = \theta_0$. This gives another formula for k^*_μ:

$$k^*_\mu(m) = \langle \psi_\mu(m), m\rangle - k_\mu(\psi_\mu(m)). \tag{2.4}$$

It follows from the general theory of Legendre transform, but it is also easy to check by direct computation, that

$$\psi_\mu = (k^*_\mu)'.$$

Note that the Legendre transform of k_μ in (2.3) may be well defined on a set larger than $M_{F(\mu)}$. From the general theory of Legendre transform we know that if k_μ is convex, then so is k^*_μ, which indicates in particular, that it is defined on a convex set. The set $M_{F(\mu)}$ is an open subset that is homeomorphic to the open convex set $\Theta(\mu)$, but it is not necessarily convex (see [6, Section 2]). Using the Legendre transform in the context of exponential families introduces a concept of duality, where the sets $\Theta(\mu)$ and $M_{F(\mu)}$ exchange their roles (see [2, Section 9]).

3. NEF invariant by a subgroup of the general linear group

In the following section we consider a NEF with the property of invariance by a subgroup of the general linear group. In the next section we treat the case of the general affine group.

We say that a measure μ_0 is invariant under a subgroup G of $GL(\mathbb{E})$ if for all $g \in G$ there exists a constant $c_g > 0$ for which $\mu_0(gA) = c_g\mu_0(A)$ for any measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{E}$. This condition is equivalent to

$$L_{\mu_0}(g^*\theta) = c_g^{-1}L_{\mu_0}(\theta), \quad \theta \in \Theta(\mu_0). \tag{3.1}$$

Theorem 3.1 *Let G be a subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{E})$ and $F = F(\mu)$ be G-invariant NEF on $\mathbb{E}$, that is, for any $g \in G$ one has $gF = F$. If G contains cId for some $c \neq 1$, then there exist $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{E}^*$ and a G-invariant measure μ_0 such that*

$$\mu(dx) = e^{-\langle \theta_0, x\rangle}\mu_0(dx).$$

Proof. By (2.2) we have

$$\mathbb{V}_F(gm) = g\mathbb{V}_F(m)g^*, \quad (m, g) \in M_F \times G,$$

which in turn, using (2.1), gives

$$g^*\psi'_\mu(gm)g = \psi'_\mu(m). \tag{3.2}$$

We know that $\psi_\mu = (k_\mu^*)'$, thus, integrating the above relation we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g^*\psi_\mu(gm) &= \psi_\mu(m) + a_g, \\ k_\mu^*(gm) &= k_\mu^*(m) + \langle a_g, m\rangle + b_g, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

for any $m \in M_F$ and some constants $a_g \in \mathbb{E}^*$ and $b_g \in \mathbb{R}$. We will give now some information on these constants. For any $g, g' \in G$ and $m \in M_F$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} k_\mu^*(g'gm) &= k_\mu^*(m) + \langle a_{g'g}, m\rangle + b_{g'g}, \\ &= k_\mu^*(m) + \langle a_{g'}, gm\rangle + \langle a_g, m\rangle + b_g + b_{g'}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned} a_{g'g} &= a_g + g^* a_{g'}, \\ b_{g'g} &= b_g + b_{g'}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Second equality says, that b is a character of G. We will show that there exists $\theta_0 \in E^*$ such that

$$a_g = g^*\theta_0 - \theta_0. \tag{3.5}$$

By the assumptions there exists $c \neq 1$ such that $c\mathrm{Id} \in G$. Then, for any $g \in G$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_{c\mathrm{Id}g} &= a_g + g^* a_{c\mathrm{Id}}, \\ a_{gc\mathrm{Id}} &= a_{c\mathrm{Id}} + c a_g. \end{aligned}$$

Equating the right hand sides of above formulas, we obtain (3.5) with $\theta_0 = \frac{1}{c-1} a_{c\mathrm{Id}}$.

Define $\mu_0(dx) = e^{\langle \theta_0, x\rangle}\mu(dx)$. We have $\mathbb{V}_{F(\mu)} = \mathbb{V}_{F(\mu_0)}$. We will show that μ_0 is invariant by G. It is easy to check the following equalities

$$\begin{aligned} k_{\mu_0}(\theta) &= k_\mu(\theta + \theta_0), \\ \Theta(\mu) &= \Theta(\mu_0) + \theta_0, \end{aligned}$$

and, via (2.3),

$$k_{\mu_0}^*(m) = k_\mu^*(m) - \langle \theta_0, m\rangle .$$

By (3.3), we obtain

$$k_{\mu_0}^*(gm) = k_{\mu_0}^*(m) + b_g. \tag{3.6}$$

Since $\psi_{\mu_0}(gm) = (g^*)^{-1}\psi_{\mu_0}(m)$, inserting (2.4) into (3.6), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi_{\mu_0}(m), m\rangle - k_{\mu_0}((g^*)^{-1}\psi_{\mu_0}(m)) &= \langle \psi_{\mu_0}(gm), gm\rangle - k_{\mu_0}(\psi_{\mu_0}(gm)) \\ &= \langle \psi_{\mu_0}(m), m\rangle - k_{\mu_0}(\psi_{\mu_0}(m)) + b_g. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $m = k'_{\mu_0}(g^*\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Theta(\mu_0)$, we finally obtain

$$k_{\mu_0}(g^*\theta) = k_{\mu_0}(\theta) + b_g,$$

which is (3.1) with $c_g = e^{-b_g}$. □

3.1. **Comments.**

(1) It is easy to check that if $\mu(dx) = e^{-\langle\theta_0,x\rangle}\mu_0(dx)$, where the measure μ is invariant by G, then the NEF $F(\mu)$ is G-invariant, therefore the above theorem is, in fact, a characterization of such measures.

(2) Letac in [23] considered $\mathbb{E} = \mathrm{Sym}(N,\mathbb{R})$ and G being some subgroup of $GL(\mathbb{E})$. His approach was very different from ours. We integrated (3.2), whereas he differentiated it. Then, using the fact that $\psi''_\mu(m)$ is a symmetric bilinear operator acting on $\mathbb{E}\times\mathbb{E}$, he deduced the form of $\mathbb{V}_{F(\mu)}$. Knowing the variance function he recognized that it is actually the variance function of NEF generated by the Wishart distribution or its image by the involution $x \mapsto -x$. Letac's approach was repeated for $\mathbb{E}$ being a simple Euclidean Jordan algebra (for different G) in [11].

Such approach has two drawbacks. One is that differentiating (3.2) may be a very hard technical task (this can be seen in [11]). And the second one is that it requires the knowledge of the form of variance function of characterized measure, which in principle is not known in advance.

The method we proposed, which works in a very general setting, does not have these impediments.

(3) A proof of the above theorem can be carried out more directly (without invoking the variance function and the Legendre transform), just by using $gF(\mu) = F(g_*\mu) = F(\mu)$ for any $g \in G$, where $g_*\mu$ is the image measure of μ by g. Then for any $g \in G$ and any $\theta \in \Theta(\mu)$ there exists $\theta_g \in \Theta(\mu)$ such that

$$e^{\langle\theta,x\rangle}g_*\mu(dx) = e^{\langle\theta_g,x\rangle}\mu(dx).$$

The conclusion follows from similar arguments as the ones that led to (3.5).

(4) We assumed that there exists $c \neq 1$ such that $c\mathrm{Id} \in G$. This assumption is not needed if G is a semi-simple Lie group, what will be shown in a future paper of the first author [21].

4. NEF invariant by a subgroup of the general linear group

It turns out that coming from general linear group to the general affine group is fairly easy and standard. Let now G be a subgroup of the general linear affine group $GA(\mathbb{E})$ of $\mathbb{E}$. For $g \in G$ and $x \in \mathbb{E}$ we write $g.x = \alpha(g)x + \beta(g)$.

If $\alpha(G) \subset GL(\mathbb{E})$ contains $c\mathrm{Id}$ for some $c \neq 1$, then there exist $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ such that

$$\beta(g) = \beta_0 - \alpha(g)\beta_0. \tag{4.1}$$

Indeed, since $(g'g).x = g'.(g.x)$ implies that for any $g, g' \in G$ we have

$$\alpha(g'g) = \alpha(g')\alpha(g),$$
$$\beta(g'g) = \alpha(g')\beta(g) + \beta(g').$$

First equality implies that α is a representation of G, while the second one is almost the same as (3.4). Using the same method we prove (4.1).

Consider now a natural exponential family F, which is invariant by G. This implies in particular that

$$\mathbb{V}_F(g.m) = \alpha(g)\mathbb{V}_F(m)\alpha^*(g), \quad (m,g) \in M_F \times G.$$

Setting $m \mapsto m + \beta_0$, using (4.1) and defining $\mathbb{V}_0(m) := \mathbb{V}_F(m + \beta_0)$ we obtain

$$\mathbb{V}_0(qm) = q\mathbb{V}_0(m)q^*, \quad (m,q) \in (M_F - \beta_0) \times \alpha(G).$$

It can be shown that if $F = F(\mu)$, then for $\nu(dx) = \mu(dx + \beta_0)$ we have $\mathbb{V}_0 = \mathbb{V}_{F(\nu)}$. Thus, we obtain the following

Theorem 4.1 *Let G be a subgroup of $GA(\mathbb{E})$. For $g \in G$ we write $g.x = \alpha(g)x + \beta(g)$. If $F = F(\mu)$ is G-invariant NEF on $\mathbb{E}$, that is, for any $g \in G$ one has $g.F = F$ and if $\alpha(G) \subset GL(\mathbb{E})$ contains $c\mathrm{Id}$ for some $c \neq 1$, then there exist $\theta_0 \in \mathbb{E}^*$, $\beta_0 \in \mathbb{E}$ and a $\alpha(G)$-invariant measure μ_0 such that*

$$\mu(dx) = e^{-\langle \theta_0, x\rangle}\mu_0(dx - \beta_0).$$

5. Characterization of the Riesz measure on homogeneous cones

5.1. **Matrix realization of homogeneous cones.** Let V be a real linear space and Ω a regular open convex set in V containing no line. The cone Ω is said to be *homogeneous* if the linear automorphism group $G(\Omega) = \{g \in GL(V)\colon g\Omega = \Omega\}$ acts transitively on Ω.

We will now give a very useful representation of homogeneous cones following [19, Section 3]. For a symmetric matrix $x \in \mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R})$, we denote by $\underset{\sim}{x}$ the lower triangular matrix of size N defined by

$$(\underset{\sim}{x})_{ij} = \begin{cases} x_{ij}, & \text{if } i > j, \\ x_{ii}/2, & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0, & \text{if } i < j. \end{cases}$$

Then we have $x = \underset{\sim}{x} + \hat{x}$, where $\hat{x} = \underset{\sim}{x}^{\top}$ is the transpose of $\underset{\sim}{x}$. For $x, y \in \mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R})$, we define

$$x \triangle y := \underset{\sim}{x}y + y\hat{x} \in \mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R}).$$

Then, $(\mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R}), \triangle)$ forms a non-associative algebra with unit element I_N. Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a subalgebra of $(\mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R}), \triangle)$ and $H_{\mathcal{Z}}$ be the set of lower triangular matrices from $\mathcal{Z}$ with positive diagonal entries, that is, $H_{\mathcal{Z}} := \{\underset{\sim}{x}\colon x \in \mathcal{Z} \text{ and } x_{ii} > 0\}$.

Define $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}} = \{x \in \mathcal{Z}\colon \text{x is positive definite}\}$ and consider for any $T \in H_{\mathcal{Z}}$ the linear operators $\rho(T)\colon \mathcal{Z} \to \mathcal{Z}, x \mapsto \rho(T)x = TxT^{\top}$. It can be shown that $\rho(H_{\mathcal{Z}})$ acts on $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$ transitively, which means that $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a homogeneous cone ([20, Theorem 3]). Furthermore, we have the following

Theorem 5.1 ([18]) *For a homogeneous cone $\Omega \subset V$, there exists a subalgebra $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R})$ and a linear isomorphism $\phi\colon V \to \mathcal{Z}$ such that $\phi(\Omega) = \Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$.*

We say that $\mathcal{Z} \subset \mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R})$ admits a normal block decomposition if there exists a partition $N = n_1 + \ldots + n_r$ and subspaces $\mathcal{V}_{lk} \subset \mathrm{Mat}(n_l, n_k, \mathbb{R})$, $1 \leq k < l \leq r$, such that $\mathcal{Z}$ is the set of symmetric matrices of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} X_{11} & X_{21}^{\top} & \cdots & X_{r1}^{\top} \\ X_{21} & X_{22} & & X_{r2}^{\top} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \\ X_{r1} & X_{r2} & & X_{rr} \end{pmatrix} \qquad \begin{pmatrix} X_{ll} = x_{ll}I_{n_l},\ x_{ll} \in \mathbb{R},\ 1 \leq l \leq r \\ X_{lk} \in \mathcal{V}_{lk},\ 1 \leq k < l \leq r \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will write $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ for this space.

Theorem 5.2 (i) *([20, Theorem 2]) Let $\mathcal{Z}$ be a subalgebra of $(\mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R}), \triangle)$ with $I_N \in \mathcal{Z}$. Then there exists a permutation matrix w, such that $w\mathcal{Z}w^{\top}$ admits a normal block decomposition.*

(ii) *([20, Proposition 2]) $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is a subalgebra of $(\mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R}), \triangle)$ if and only if the subspaces $\{\mathcal{V}_{lk}\}_{1 \leq k < l \leq r}$ satisfy the following conditions:*

(V1) *$A \in \mathcal{V}_{lk}$, $B \in \mathcal{V}_{ki} \implies AB \in \mathcal{V}_{li}$ for any $1 \leq i < k < l \leq r$,*

(V2) *$A \in \mathcal{V}_{li}$, $B \in \mathcal{V}_{ki} \implies AB^{\top} \in \mathcal{V}_{lk}$ for any $1 \leq i < k < l \leq r$,*

(V3) *$A \in \mathcal{V}_{lk} \implies AA^{\top} \in \mathbb{R}I_{n_l}$ for any $1 \leq k < l \leq r$.*

If $\mathcal{Z} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ we shall write $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$, $H_{\mathcal{V}}$ for $\Omega_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $H_{\mathcal{Z}}$, respectively.

Condition $(V3)$ allows us to define an inner product on $\mathcal{V}_{lk}$, $1 \leq k < l \leq r$, by

$$AA^{\top} = (A|A)I_{n_l}, \qquad A \in \mathcal{V}_{lk}.$$

We then define the *standard inner product* on $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V}$ by

$$\langle x, y\rangle := \sum_{k=1}^{r} x_{kk}y_{kk} + 2\sum_{1\le k<l\le r}(X_{lk}|Y_{lk}), \qquad x, y \in \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V}.$$

Note that $\langle\cdot,\cdot\rangle$ coincides with the trace inner product only if $n_1 = \ldots = n_r = 1$.

Define a one-dimensional representation of $H_\mathcal{V}$ by

$$\chi_{\underline{s}}(T) := \prod_{k=1}^{r} t_{kk}^{2s_k},$$

where $\underline{s} = (s_1, \ldots, s_r) \in \mathbb{C}^r$. Note that any one-dimensional representation χ of $H_\mathcal{V}$ is of the form $\chi_{\underline{s}}$. This fact will be important later on.

For any open convex cone Ω we define the *dual cone* of Ω by

$$\Omega^* = \{\xi \in V^*\colon\ \langle x, \xi\rangle > 0\ \ \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}\setminus\{0\}\},$$

where V^* is the dual space of V. If Ω is homogeneous, then so is Ω^*. Let $\Omega^*_\mathcal{V}$ denote the dual cone of $\Omega_\mathcal{V}$.

For $T \in H_\mathcal{V}$, we denote by $\rho^*(T)$ the adjoint operator of $\rho(T) \in GL(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V})$ defined in such a way that $\langle x, \rho^*(T)\xi\rangle = \langle\rho(T)x, \xi\rangle$ for x, $\xi \in \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V}$. Then we see from [30, Chapter 1, Proposition 9] that for any $\xi \in \Omega^*_\mathcal{V}$, there exists a unique $T \in H_\mathcal{V}$ such that $\xi = \rho^*(T)I_N$. Define

$$\Delta^*_{\underline{s}}(\xi) = \Delta^*_{\underline{s}}(\rho^*(T)I_N) := \chi_{\underline{s}^*}(T),$$

where $\underline{s}^* = (s_r, \ldots, s_1)$. We see that for any $S \in H_\mathcal{V}$ and $\xi = \rho^*(T)I_N \in \Omega^*_\mathcal{V}$ we have

$$\Delta^*_{\underline{s}}(\rho^*(S)\xi) = \chi_{\underline{s}^*}(TS) = \chi_{\underline{s}^*}(T)\chi_{\underline{s}^*}(S) = \Delta^*_{\underline{s}}(\xi)\Delta^*_{\underline{s}}(\rho^*(S)I_N)$$

and, since any character of $H_\mathcal{V}$ is of the form $\chi_{\underline{s}^*}$, this property characterizes Δ^*. Function Δ^* is sometimes termed a generalized power function. Its importance is emphasized by the following result [9, 15].

Theorem 5.3 *There exists a positive measure $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ on $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V}$ with the Laplace transform $L_{\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}}(-\theta) = \Delta^*_{-\underline{s}^*}(\theta)$ for $\theta \in \Omega^*_\mathcal{V}$ if and only if $\underline{s} \in \Xi := \bigsqcup_{\underline{\varepsilon}\in\{0,1\}^r}\Xi(\underline{\varepsilon})$, where*

$$\Xi(\underline{\varepsilon}) := \left\{\underline{s} \in \mathbb{R}^r;\ \begin{array}{c} s_k > p_k(\underline{\varepsilon})/2 \text{ if } \varepsilon_k = 1 \\ \\ s_k = p_k(\underline{\varepsilon})/2 \text{ if } \varepsilon_k = 0 \end{array}\right\}$$

and $p_k(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \sum_{i<k}\varepsilon_i \dim\mathcal{V}_{ki}$.

The measure $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ with $\underline{s} \in \Xi$ has the support in $\overline{\Omega_\mathcal{V}}$ and is called the *Riesz measure*, while the set Ξ is called the *Gindikin-Wallach set*.

In order to define NEF generated by $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ we have to know if $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}} \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V})$, that is, if $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ is not concentrated on some affine hyperplane of $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V}$. The following result is a generalization of [11, Theorem 3.1]. Let us define for $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^r$,

$$E_{\underline{\varepsilon}} := \begin{pmatrix} \varepsilon_1 I_{n_1} & & \\ & \ddots & \\ & & \varepsilon_r I_{n_r} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V}$$

Theorem 5.4 *The support of $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ is not concentrated on any affine hyperplane in $\mathcal{Z}_\mathcal{V}$ if and only if $s_k > 0$ for all $k = 1, \ldots, r$.*

Proof. We write $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\varepsilon}}$ for the $\rho(H_{\mathcal{V}})$-orbit in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ through $E_{\underline{\varepsilon}}$. Note that $\mathcal{O}_{(1,\dots,1)} = \Omega_{\mathcal{V}}$. It is shown in [15, Theorem 6.2] that, if $\underline{s} \in \Xi(\underline{\varepsilon})$, then $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ is a positive measure on $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\varepsilon}}$, so that the support of $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ coincides with the closure $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\varepsilon}}}$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\varepsilon}}$. In particular, if for any $k = 1, \dots, r$,

$$s_k > p_k(1, \dots, 1)/2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i<k} \dim \mathcal{V}_{ki}, \tag{5.1}$$

then $\underline{s} \in \Xi(1, \dots, 1)$ and $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ is a regular measure on the cone $\Omega_{\mathcal{V}} = \rho(H_{\mathcal{V}})I_N$.

Now we show the 'if' part of the statement. Assume that $\underline{s} \in \Xi \cap \mathbb{R}^r_{>0}$. In view of (5.1), we see that there exists a positive integer m such that $m\underline{s} \in \Xi(1, \dots, 1)$. Then $\mathcal{R}_{m\underline{s}}$ is a regular measure, while $\mathcal{R}_{m\underline{s}}$ equals the convolution measure $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}} * \mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}} * \cdots * \mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ (m-times). It follows that the support of $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ is not concentrated on any affine hyperplane in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Next we show the 'only if' part. It suffices to show that, if $\underline{s} \in \Xi(\underline{\varepsilon})$ with $s_k = 0$ for some k, then $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}} = \overline{\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\varepsilon}}}$ is contained in the subspace $(\mathbb{R}E_k)^{\perp} := \{x \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}} \colon x_{kk} = 0\}$ of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$. Recalling the definition of $\Xi(\underline{\varepsilon})$, we see that

$$\varepsilon_k = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad p_k(\underline{\varepsilon}) = \sum_{i<k} \varepsilon_i \dim \mathcal{V}_{ki} = 0,$$

and the latter equality implies

$$\mathcal{V}_{ki} = 0 \text{ if } \varepsilon_i = 1.$$

Therefore, for any $x = \rho(T)E_{\underline{\varepsilon}} = TE_{\underline{\varepsilon}}T^{\top} \in \mathcal{O}$ with $T \in H_{\mathcal{V}}$, we have

$$x_{kk} = \varepsilon_k (t_{kk})^2 + \sum_{i<k} \varepsilon_i \|T_{ki}\|^2 = 0,$$

which means that $\mathcal{O}_{\underline{\varepsilon}} \subset (\mathbb{R}E_k)^{\perp}$. Hence $\operatorname{supp} \mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}} \subset (\mathbb{R}E_k)^{\perp}$ and the proof is completed. □

For $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{-1, 1\}^r$, consider $\mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}} := \rho^*(H_{\mathcal{V}})E_{\underline{\varepsilon}}$. The set

$$\bigsqcup_{\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{-1,1\}^r} \mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}} \tag{5.2}$$

is dense in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}}$ are the only open orbits of $\rho^*(H_{\mathcal{V}})$ (see [8, 16]).

5.2. **Characterization of the Riesz measure on homogeneous cone.** In the following section we will give an application of Theorem 3.1 to a characterization of the Riesz measure on homogeneous cone. We generalize the results of [11], where the characterization of Riesz measure through invariance property of NEF on simple Euclidean algebras was considered.

We say that the subalgebra $\mathcal{Z}$ of $(\mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R}), \triangle)$ is *irreducible* if $\mathcal{Z}$ is not equal to a direct sum of two non-trivial ideals.

Theorem 5.5 *Let $\mathbb{E} = \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ be an irreducible subalgebra of $(\mathrm{Sym}(N, \mathbb{R}), \triangle)$ that admits a normal decomposition and let $F(\mu)$ be a NEF invariant by $G = \rho(H_{\mathcal{V}})$. Then there exists $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$, $c > 0$ and $\underline{s} \in \Xi \cap \mathbb{R}^r_{>0}$ such that*

$$\mu(dx) = e^{-\langle \theta_0, x \rangle} c\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}(dx)$$

or

$$\mu(dx) = e^{-\langle \theta_0, x \rangle} c\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}(-dx)$$

Proof. Theorem 3.1 implies that there exists θ_0 such that

$$\mu(dx) = e^{-\langle \theta_0, x \rangle} \mu_0(dx),$$

where

$$L_{\mu_0}(\rho^*(T)\theta) = \chi(T) L_{\mu_0}(\theta), \quad (\theta, T) \in \Theta(\mu_0) \times H_{\mathcal{V}}. \tag{5.3}$$

We will determine L_{μ_0} and $\Theta(\mu_0)$. The proof is split into four steps:

(i) There exists $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{-1,1\}^r$ such that $E_{\underline{\varepsilon}} \in \Theta(\mu_0)$. Moreover, if $E_{\underline{\varepsilon}}, E_{\underline{\varepsilon}'} \in \Theta(\mu_0)$, then $\underline{\varepsilon} = \underline{\varepsilon}'$.
(ii) $\Theta(\mu_0) = \mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}}$ for some $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{-1,1\}^r$.
(iii) If $\Theta(\mu_0) = \mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}}$ then $\underline{\varepsilon} = (-1,\dots,-1)$ or $\underline{\varepsilon} = (1,\dots,1)$.
(iiii) μ is of the postulated form.

First step. By definition, the set $\Theta(\mu_0)$ is open and non-empty. Since $\rho^*(T)\Theta(\mu_0) = \Theta(\mu_0)$ for any $T \in H_{\mathcal{V}}$ and the set (5.2) is dense in $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$, we have $E_{\underline{\varepsilon}} \in \mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}} \subset \Theta(\mu_0)$ for some $\underline{\varepsilon} \in \{-1,1\}^r$.
Assume now that $E_{\underline{\varepsilon}}, E_{\underline{\varepsilon}'} \in \Theta(\mu_0)$ and $\underline{\varepsilon} \neq \underline{\varepsilon}'$. Since $\Theta(\mu_0)$ is convex, we know that $\sigma := \frac{1}{2}(E_{\underline{\varepsilon}} + E_{\underline{\varepsilon}'}) \in \Theta(\mu_0)$. Define $I_0 := \{i \in \{1,\dots,r\} \colon \frac{\varepsilon_i + \varepsilon'_i}{2} = 0\}$. The set I_0 is not empty. For any $T \in H_{\mathcal{V}}$ with $t_{ii} = 1$ for any $i \notin I_0$ we have

$$L_{\mu_0}(\rho^*(T)\sigma) = L_{\mu_0}(\sigma),$$

but this contradicts the assumption that μ_0 is not supported on any affine hyperplane of $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$.

Second step. Since $\Theta(\mu_0)$ contains only one open orbit, we have

$$\operatorname{int}\{\Theta(\mu_0) \setminus \mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}}\} = \emptyset.$$

This implies that

$$\Theta(\mu_0) \setminus \overline{\mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}}} = \emptyset,$$

thus

$$\mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}} \subset \Theta(\mu_0) \subset \overline{\mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}}}$$

which proves the claim, since $\Theta(\mu_0)$ is open.

Third step. We will show that $\mathcal{O}^*_{\underline{\varepsilon}}$ is not convex unless all ε_k, $1 \le k \le r$, are simultaneously 1 or -1. Define

$$I^+(\underline{\varepsilon}) := \{i \in \{1,\dots,r\} \colon \varepsilon_i = 1\} \text{ and } I^-(\underline{\varepsilon}) := \{1,\dots,r\} \setminus I^+(\underline{\varepsilon})$$

Suppose that $I^+(\underline{\varepsilon})$ and $I^-(\underline{\varepsilon})$ are non-empty. Then there exists $k \in I^+(\underline{\varepsilon})$ and $l \in I^-(\underline{\varepsilon})$ such that the space $\mathcal{V}_{lk}$ is not empty (without loss of generality we may assume that $l > k$). If not, $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ has the block form

$$w \left(\begin{array}{c|c} \mathcal{Z}_+ & 0 \\ \hline 0 & \mathcal{Z}_- \end{array} \right) w^\top,$$

for some permutation matrix w. This contradicts the assumption that $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ is irreducible.

Thus there exists $\mathcal{V}_{lk} \neq \emptyset$. We have $\varepsilon_k = 1$ and $\varepsilon_l = -1$. For $v \in \mathcal{V}_{lk}$ let $T(v)$ be the element of $H_{\mathcal{V}}$ such that $T_{lk} = v$, $t_{ii} = 1$ for $i = 1,\dots r$ and $T_{ji} = 0$ for all $(i,j) \neq (k,l)$, $1 \le i < j \le r$. Take any $v \in \mathcal{V}_{lk}$ with $(v|v) = 2$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^*(T(v))\underline{\varepsilon} + \rho^*(T(-v))\underline{\varepsilon}\right) = E_{\underline{\varepsilon}'}, \tag{5.4}$$

where $\varepsilon'_i = \varepsilon_i$ for $i \neq k$ and $\varepsilon'_k = -1$. To show (5.4) we could switch to a matrix realization of the dual cone $\Omega^*_{\mathcal{V}}$, where (under suitable linear isomorphism) $\rho^*(T)$ is just a multiplication by some upper triangular matrix on the left and its transpose on the right. We will however use only the definition of $\rho^*(T)$. For any $x \in \mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{V}}$ consider the matrix

$$x_v := \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho(T(v))x + \rho(T(-v))x\right) = \frac{1}{2}\left(T(v)xT(v)^\top + T(-v))xT(-v)^\top\right).$$

It may be verified by direct calculation that matrices x and x_v differ only on their (l,l)-components, which in the latter case equals

$$(vv^\top x_{kk} + x_{ll})I_{n_l} = (2x_{kk} + x_{ll})I_{n_l}.$$

Thus,

$$\left\langle x, \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho^*(T(v))\underline{\varepsilon} + \rho^*(T(-v))\underline{\varepsilon}\right)\right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{1}{2}\left(\rho(T(v))x + \rho(T(-v))x\right), \varepsilon\right\rangle$$
$$= \sum_{i\notin\{k,l\}} x_{ii}\varepsilon_i + x_{kk}(1) + (2x_{kk} + x_{ll})(-1) = \left\langle x, E_{\underline{\varepsilon}'}\right\rangle.$$

But $\Theta(\mu_0)$ is convex, thus $E_{\underline{\varepsilon}'} \in \Theta(\mu_0)$. This contradicts the point (i), that $\Theta(\mu_0)$ contains only one open orbit of $\rho^*(H_\mathcal{V})$. This means that $-I_N$ or I_N belongs to $\Theta(\mu_0)$ and finally,

$$\Theta(\mu_0) = -\Omega_\mathcal{V}^* \quad \text{or} \quad \Theta(\mu_0) = \Omega_\mathcal{V}^*.$$

Fourth step. Let us first consider the case $\Theta(\mu_0) = -\Omega_\mathcal{V}^*$. Putting $\theta = \rho^*(S)(-I_N)$ for $S \in H_\mathcal{V}$, we obtain

$$L_{\mu_0}(-\rho^*(ST)I_N) = \chi(T)L_{\mu_0}(-\rho^*(S)I_N), \quad (S,T) \in H_\mathcal{V}^2,$$

which implies that for $c = L_{\mu_0}(-I_N) > 0$,

$$L_{\mu_0}(-\rho^*(T)I_N) = c\chi(T)$$

and $\chi(T)$ is a one-dimensional representation of $H_\mathcal{V}$. Thus there exists $\underline{s} \in \Xi$ such that $\chi = \chi_{-\underline{s}}$ and then

$$L_{\mu_0}(-\theta) = c\Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^*(\theta), \quad \theta \in \Omega_\mathcal{V}^*.$$

By Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 we see that $\underline{s} \in \Xi \cap \mathbb{R}^r_{>0}$. This means that $\mu_0(dx) = c\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}(dx)$ and $\Theta(\mu_0) = -\Omega_\mathcal{V}^*$.

In the second case, when $\Theta(\mu_0) = \Omega_\mathcal{V}^*$, one can show that $\mu_0(dx) = c\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}(-dx)$. □

5.3. **Comments.**

(1) In [12], the authors considered characterization of the Riesz measure $\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}}$ through the invariance property of NEF on a simple Euclidean algebra $\mathbb{E}$ by some subgroup G of $GL(\mathbb{E})$. This subgroup was carefully chosen in order to ensure that some components of vector $\underline{s} \in \Xi$ are equal. Taking ordinary triangular group $\rho(H_\mathcal{V})$ imposes no additional conditions on these components. On the other hand if one considers the invariance property of NEF by the connected component containing identity of $G(\Omega)$ (this is in principle what Letac did on symmetric matrices in [23], but it is true on homogeneous cones also), then all s_i has to be equal, $s_i = p$ for $1 \le i \le r$. Then $\Delta_{\underline{s}^*}^* = \det^p$ and p belongs to the set Λ called the Jorgensen set (see [5]).

(2) Elements of $F(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}})$ are actually the Wishart distributions on homogeneous cones introduced in [1] (the subcase of $s_i = p$ for $1 \le i \le r$) and in [10].

(3) It should be stressed that our approach is very different than that of [11, 23], where the characterization of NEF was proved by showing that the variance function of $\rho(H_\mathcal{V})$-invariant NEF coincides with the one of $F(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}})$ for some $\underline{s} \in \Xi$. Here we didn't even need to know what is the variance function of the Riesz measure on homogeneous cones. We perceive our approach as less technical and more natural.

(4) The formula for variance function of $F(\mathcal{R}_{\underline{s}})$ on homogeneous cones will be the topic of our forthcoming joint paper with Piotr Graczyk.

Acknowledgement. B. Kołodziejek was partially supported by NCN Grant No. 2012/05/B/ST1/00554.

References

[1] S. A. Andersson and G. G. Wojnar. Wishart distributions on homogeneous cones. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 17(4):781–818, 2004.

[2] O. Barndorff-Nielsen. *Information and exponential families in statistical theory.* Wiley Series in Probability and Statistics. John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., Chichester, 2014.

[3] M. Casalis. Les familles exponentielles à variance quadratique homogène sont des lois de Wishart sur un cône symétrique. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 312(7):537–540, 1991.
[4] M. Casalis. The $2d+4$ simple quadratic natural exponential families on $\mathbf{R}^d$. *Ann. Statist.*, 24(4):1828–1854, 1996.
[5] M. Casalis and G. Letac. Characterization of the Jørgensen set in generalized linear models. *Test*, 3(1):145–162, 1994.
[6] B. Efron. The geometry of exponential families. *Ann. Statist.*, 6(2):362–376, 1978.
[7] P. S. Eriksen. $(k, 1)$ exponential transformation models. *Scand. J. Statist.*, 11(3):129–145, 1984.
[8] S. G. Gindikin. Analysis in homogeneous domains. *Uspehi Mat. Nauk*, 19(4 (118)):3–92, 1964.
[9] S. G. Gindikin. Invariant generalized functions in homogeneous domains. *Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen.*, 9(1):56–58, 1975.
[10] P. Graczyk and H. Ishi. Riesz measures and Wishart laws associated to quadratic maps. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 66(1):317–348, 2014.
[11] A. Hassairi and S. Lajmi. Riesz exponential families on symmetric cones. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 14(4):927–948, 2001.
[12] A. Hassairi and S. Lajmi. Classification of Riesz exponential families on a symmetric cone by invariance properties. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 17(3):521–539, 2004.
[13] A. Hassairi and M. Zarai. Characterization of the cubic exponential families by orthogonality of polynomials. *Ann. Probab.*, 32(3B):2463–2476, 2004.
[14] A. Hassairi and M. Zarai. Characterization of the simple cubic multivariate exponential families. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 235(1):69–89, 2006.
[15] H. Ishi. Positive Riesz distributions on homogeneous cones. *J. Math. Soc. Japan*, 52(1):161–186, 2000.
[16] H. Ishi. Decomposition of the L^2-function space on the Shilov boundary of a homogeneous Siegel domain. *Sophia Kokyuroku Math.*, 45:121–136, 2002.
[17] H. Ishi. On symplectic representations of normal j-algebras and their application to Xu's realizations of Siegel domains. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 24(6):588–612, 2006.
[18] H. Ishi. Representation of clans and homogeneous cones. *Vestn. Tambov. Univ.*, 16:1669–1675, 2011.
[19] H. Ishi. Homogeneous cones and their applications to statistics. In *Modern methods of multivariate statistics*, volume 82, pages 135–154. Hermann, 2014.
[20] H. Ishi. Matrix realization of a homogeneous cone. In *Geometric Science of Information*, volume 9389 of *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, pages 248–256. Springer International Publishing, 2015.
[21] H Ishi and A. Ohara. On potential functions of invariant Hessian metrics. *in preparation.*, 2015.
[22] J. L. Jensen. On the hyperboloid distribution. *Scand. J. Statist.*, 8(4):193–206, 1981.
[23] G. Letac. A characterization of the Wishart exponential families by an invariance property. *J. Theoret. Probab.*, 2(1):71–86, 1989.
[24] G Letac. *Lectures on natural exponential families and their variance functions*, volume 50 of *Monografías de Matemática [Mathematical Monographs]*. Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada (IMPA), Rio de Janeiro, 1992.
[25] G. Letac and H. Massam. Wishart distributions for decomposable graphs. *Ann. Statist.*, 35(3):1278–1323, 2007.
[26] G. Letac and M. Mora. Natural real exponential families with cubic variance functions. *Ann. Statist.*, 18(1):1–37, 1990.
[27] C. N. Morris. Natural exponential families with quadratic variance functions. *Ann. Statist.*, 10(1):65–80, 1982.
[28] H. Shima. Homogeneous Hessian manifolds. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 30(3):91–128, 1980.
[29] H. Shima. *The geometry of Hessian structures*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, 2007.

[30] È. B. Vinberg. The theory of homogeneous convex cones. *Tr. Mosk. Mat. Obs.*, 12:303–358, 1963.
[31] G. S. Watson. *Statistics on spheres*. University of Arkansas Lecture Notes in the Mathematical Sciences, 6. John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1983. A Wiley-Interscience Publication.

Nagoya University, Graduate School of Mathematics, Furo-cho, Nagoya 464-8602, Japan
E-mail address: hideyuki@math.nahoya-u.ac.jp

Warsaw University of Technology, Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science,, Koszykowa 75, 00-662 Warsaw, Poland
E-mail address: kolodziejekb@mini.pw.edu.pl